# 2.2 — Bertrand Competition ECON 316 • Game Theory • Fall 2021 Ryan Safner Assistant Professor of Economics safner@hood.edu ryansafner/gameF21 gameF21.classes.ryansafner.com



### A More Rigorous Oligopoly/Cartel Problem

**Example**: Suppose Squeaky Clean (Firm 1) and Biobase (Firm 2) are the only two producers of chlorine for swimming pools. The inverse market demand for chlorine is

P = 32 - 2Q

where  $Q = q_1 + q_2$  is measured in tons, and P is \$/ton. Assume only a constant marginal cost of \$16 for both firms

- 1. If the two firms collude and agree to act as a monopolist and evenly split the market, how much will each firm produce, what will be the market price, and how much profit will each firm earn?
- 2. Under this agreement, does either firm have an incentive to cheat (i.e. by producing an additional ton of chlorine)? What would happen to each firm's profits if either, or both, cheated?



- Each of you are selling identical Economics 101 course notes
- You will be randomly put into a market with 1 other player
- Each term, both of you simultaneously choose your price
- Seller(s) choosing the lowest price get **all** the customers





• The lowest price  $p_L$  determines the market demand

 $q = 3600 - 200p_L$ 

- Both firms have \$2 cost per unit sold
- p = 10 maximizes total market profits





$$q = 3600 - 200p_L$$

**Example:** 

- Suppose Firm 1 sets p = 9 and Firm 2 sets p = 10
- Firm 2 sells 0, makes \$0 profit
- Firm 1 sells

q = 3,600 - 200(9) = 1,800 and earns 1, 800(9 - 2) = 12,600 profit







## **Models of Oligopoly**

Three canonical models of Oligopoly

- **1. Bertrand competition** 
  - Firms simultaneously compete on price
- 2. Cournot competition
  - Firms simultaneously compete on quantity
- 3. Stackelberg competition
  - Firms sequentially compete on quantity



### **Bertrand Competition**





Joseph Bertrand

1822-1890

- "Bertrand competition": two (or more) firms compete on price to sell the same good
- Firms set their prices **simultaneously**
- Consumers are indifferent between the brands and always buy from the seller with the lowest price

- Suppose two firms, Walmart and Target stock and sell identical HDTVs
- Costs each firm \$200 to stock an HDTV
- Let Q be the *total* quantity purchased by consumers from the entire market (i.e. both firms)

 $\circ Q = q_w + q_t$ 

 Denote Walmart's price as p<sub>w</sub> and Target's price as p<sub>t</sub>





• Demand for HDTV's at Walmart:

- Demand for HDTV's at Walmart:
  - $\circ Q \text{ if } p_w < p_t$

- Demand for HDTV's at Walmart:
  - Q if  $p_w < p_t$ •  $\frac{Q}{2}$  if  $p_w = p_t$

- Demand for HDTV's at Walmart:
  - $\circ Q$  if  $p_w < p_t$
  - $\circ \frac{Q}{2} \text{ if } p_w = p_t$  $\circ 0 \text{ if } p_w > p_t$



- Demand for HDTV's at Walmart:
  - $\circ \ Q \text{ if } p_w < p_t$
  - $\circ \frac{Q}{2} \text{ if } p_w = p_t$
  - $\circ 0 \text{ if } p_w > p_t$

- Demand for HDTV's at Target:
  - $\circ 0 \text{ if } p_w < p_t$   $\circ \frac{Q}{2} \text{ if } p_w = p_t$  $\circ Q \text{ if } p_w > p_t$

• The only way to sell TVs is to match or beat your competitor's price



- The only way to sell TVs is to match or beat your competitor's price
- Suppose you are Walmart
- For a known  $p_t$ , setting your price

 $p_w = p_t - \epsilon$ 

- for any arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$  captures you the entire market Q
  - Same for **Target** for *p*<sub>*w*</sub>







- Won't charge p < MC, earn losses
- Firms continue undercutting one another until  $p_w = p_t = MC$
- Nash Equilibrium:

 $\left(p_w = MC, p_t = MC\right)$ 

• Firms earn no profits!



### **Bertrand Paradox**

• **Bertrand Paradox**: competitive outcome can be achieved with just 2 firms!

$$\circ p = MC, \pi = 0$$







We can graph **Walmart**'s **reaction curve** to **Target**'s price





We can graph **Walmart**'s **reaction curve** to **Target**'s price

e.g. if Target sets a price of \$500,
 Walmart's best response is \$500 - *e*





We can graph **Walmart**'s **reaction curve** to **Target**'s price

- e.g. if Target sets a price of \$500,
   Walmart's best response is \$500 \$\epsilon\$
- e.g. if Target sets a price of \$300,
  Walmart's best response is \$300 *e*



We can graph **Walmart**'s **reaction curve** to **Target**'s price

- e.g. if Target sets a price of \$500,
   Walmart's best response is \$500 *e*
- e.g. if Target sets a price of \$300,
   Walmart's best response is \$300 e
- e.g. if Target sets a price of \$200, (MC)
   Walmart's best response is \$200 (MC)



# We can graph **Target**'s **reaction curve** to **Walmart**'s price



We can graph **Target**'s **reaction curve** to **Walmart**'s price

• e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$500, Target's best response is  $500 - \epsilon$ 





We can graph **Target**'s **reaction curve** to **Walmart**'s price

- e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$500, Target's best response is  $500 - \epsilon$
- e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$300, Target's best response is \$300 - e





We can graph **Target**'s **reaction curve** to **Walmart**'s price

- e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$500, Target's best response is  $500 - \epsilon$
- e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$300,
   Target's best response is \$300 − €
- e.g. if Walmart sets a price of \$200 (MC), Target's best response is \$200 (MC)

### **Nash Equilibrium with Reaction Curves**



Combine both curves on the same graph

• Nash Equilibrium:

$$(p_w = MC, p_t = MC)$$

- $\circ~$  Where both reaction curves intersect
- No longer an incentive to undercut or change price