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## Models of Oligopoly

Three canonical models of Oligopoly

1. Bertrand competition

- Firms simultaneously compete on price

2. Cournot competition

- Firms simultaneously compete on quantity

3. Stackelberg competition

- Firms sequentially compete on quantity



## Stackelberg Competition



- "Stackelberg competition": Cournot-style competition, two (or more) firms compete on quantity to sell the same good
- Again, firms' joint output determines the market price faced by all firms
- But firms set their quantities sequentially
- Leader produces first
- Follower produces second

Henrich von Stackelberg

## Stackelberg Competition: Example

Example: Return to Saudi Arabia ( $s a$ ) and Iran (i), again with the market (inverse) demand curve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=200-3 Q \\
& Q=q_{s a}+q_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We solved for Saudi Arabia and Iran's reaction functions in Cournot competition last class:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{s a}^{*} & =30-0.5 q_{i} \\
q_{i}^{*} & =30-0.5 q_{s a}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stackelberg Competition: Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{s a}^{*} & =30-0.5 q_{i} \\
q_{i}^{*} & =30-0.5 q_{s a}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Suppose Saudi Arabia is the Stackelberg leader and produces $q_{s a}$ first
- Saudi Arabia knows exactly how Iran will respond to its output

$$
q_{i}^{*}=30-0.5 q_{s a}
$$

- Saudi Arabia, as leader, essentially faces entire market demand
- But can't act like a pure monopolist!
- knows that follower will still produce afterwards, which pushes down market price for both firms!


## Stackelberg Competition: Example

- Substitute follower's reaction function into (inverse) market demand function faced by leader

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=200-3 q_{s a}-3\left(30-0.5 q_{s a}\right) \\
& P=110-1.5 q_{s a}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now find $M R(q)$ for Saudi Arabia from this by doubling the slope:

$$
M R_{\text {Leader }}=110-3 q_{s a}
$$

## Stackelberg Competition: Example

- Now Saudi Arabia can find its optimal quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M R_{\text {Leader }} & =M C \\
110-3 q_{s a} & =20 \\
30 & =q_{s a}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Iran will optimally respond by producing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{i}^{*}=30-0.5 q_{s a} \\
& q_{i}^{*}=30-0.5(30) \\
& q_{i}^{*}=15
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stackelberg Equilibrium, Graphically



- Stackelberg Nash Equilibrium:

$$
\left(q_{s a}^{*}=30, q_{i}^{*}=15\right)
$$

## Stackelberg Competition: Example

- With $q_{s a}^{*}=30$ and $q_{i}^{*}=15$, this sets a market-clearing price of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=200-3(45) \\
& P=65
\end{aligned}
$$

- Saudi Arabia's profit would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{s a}=30(65-20) \\
& \pi_{s a}=\$ 1,350
\end{aligned}
$$

- Iran's profit would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{i}=15(65-20) \\
& \pi_{i}=\$ 675
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stackelberg Equilibrium, The Market



## Cournot vs. Stackelberg Competition

|  | Cournot <br> $\left(p^{*}=\$ 80\right)$ |  |  | Stackelberg <br> $\left(p^{*}=\$ 65\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | Profit |
| Firm | Output | Profit |  | Output | $\$ 1,350$ |
| Saudi Arabia | 20 | $\$ 1,200$ |  | 30 | $\$ 675$ |
| Iran | 20 | $\$ 1,200$ |  | 15 | $\$ 2,025$ |

- Leader Saudi Arabia $\uparrow$ its output and $\uparrow$ profits
- Follower Iran forced to $\downarrow$ its output and accept $\downarrow$ profits


## Stackelberg and First-Mover Advantage



- Stackelberg leader clearly has a firstmover advantage over the follower
- Leader: $q^{*}=30, \pi=1,350$
- Follower: $q^{*}=15, \pi=675$
- If firms compete simultaneously (Cournot): $q^{*}=20, \pi=1,200$ each
- Leading $>$ simultaneous $>$ Following


## Stackelberg and First-Mover Advantage



- Stackelberg Nash equilibrium requires perfect information for both leader and follower
- Follower must be able to observe leader's output to choose its own
- Leader must believe follower will see leader's output and react optimally
- Imperfect information reduces the game to (simultaneous) Cournot competition


## Stackelberg and First-Mover Advantage



- Again, leader cannot act like a monopolist
- A strategic game! Market output (that pushes down market price) is

$$
Q=q_{s a}+q_{i}
$$

- Leader's choice of 30 is optimal only if follower responds with 15


## Comparing All Oligopoly Models

|  | Bertrand |  |  | Cournot |  |  | Stackelberg |  |  | Collusion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | q | $p$ | $\pi$ | q | p | $\pi$ | q | p | $\pi$ | q | $p$ | $\pi$ |
| Saudi Arabia | 30 | \$20 | \$0 | 20 | \$80 | \$1,200 | 30 | \$65 | \$1,350 | 15 | \$110 | \$1,350 |
| Iran | 30 | \$20 | \$0 | 20 | \$80 | \$1,200 | 15 | \$65 | \$675 | 15 | \$110 | \$1,350 |
| Industry | 60 | \$20 | \$0 | 40 | \$80 | \$2,400 | 45 | \$65 | \$2,025 | 30 | \$110 | \$2,700 |

- Output: $Q_{m}<Q_{c}<Q_{s}<Q_{b}$
- Market price: $P_{b}<P_{s}<P_{c}<P_{m}$
- Profit: $\pi_{b}=0<\pi_{s}<\pi_{c}<\pi_{m}$

Where subscript $m$ is monopoly (collusion), $c$ is Cournot, $s$ is Stackelberg, $b$ is Bertrand

## Stackelberg Competition: Moblab

## Stackelberg Competition: Moblab



- Each of you is one Airline competing against another in a duopoly
- Each pays same per-flight cost
- Market price determined by total number of flights in market
- LeadAir first chooses its number of flights, publicly announced
- FollowAir then chooses its number of flights

